	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/611,438	EBENEZER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fiona T. Powers	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Fiona T. Powers</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ashley Pezzner</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 November 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		
Claims discussed: 1 and 14		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Pezzner to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if the following were done: 1) claim 1, page 42, line 17 after "above" insert -or- and 2)claim 14, delete "12" and insert -13-. It was agreed that claims 1 and 14 would be amended as discussed above by examiner's amendment..